

The Academic World Has Changed from "Publish or Perish" to "Be Visible or Vanish."

Johnkennedy Nnodim*

Department of medical Laboratory Science, Imo State University, Owerri Nigeria

*Corresponding Author: J.I. Abeynayake., Medical Laboratory Science Department, Imo State University, Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria.

Received Date: 05 January 2026 | Accepted Date: 20 January 2026 | Published Date: 26 January 2026

Citation: Johnkennedy Nnodim, (2026), The Academic World Has Changed from "Publish or Perish" to "Be Visible or Vanish.", *Journal of Clinical and Laboratory Research*, 9(1); DOI:10.31579/2768-0487/201

Copyright: © 2026, Johnkennedy Nnodim. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Abstract

For decades, the idea of "publish or perish" has framed academic success. This means that scholarly activity was mostly assessed by how many peer-reviewed outputs there were. The academic world today is very different from what it was in the past. In a time where digital connectedness, global competitiveness, and too much information are the norm, just publishing anything isn't enough anymore. Visibility has become a key factor in determining how important, influential, and helpful a researcher is to their career. This article talks about how the academic world is changing from just publishing to strategic visibility. It talks about how important it is to share research, publish ethically, have high-quality indexing, and get people involved online. It contends that contemporary researchers must not only do rigorous research but also proactively communicate, position, and promote their work to guarantee significant influence.

Key words: predatory journals; digital scholarship; academic publication; research exposure; and scholarly influence

Introduction

The saying "publish or perish" has been a part of academic culture for a long time. It has been both a warning and a drive for researchers all over the world. In the past, the number of peer-reviewed journal articles, conference proceedings, and scholarly volumes published was used to quantify academic performance [1]. Traditionally, publication records have been used to decide on promotions, tenure, and professional relevance. Nonetheless, although the exponential increase in scholarly outputs, a significant amount of academic research remains underutilised and predominantly obscured especially studies published in non-indexed or low-impact journals [2]. In today's increasingly competitive and digitally connected academic world, a new reality has emerged: it is no longer enough to publish; researchers must make sure their work gets seen, read, and has an impact. So, the modern academic rule has changed from "publish or perish" to "be visible or disappear."

Publication as a way to prove worth and advance career

Publishing scholarly work is still a big part of academic life. Publications provide proof of knowledge, intellectual contribution, and importance to the field for researchers at all stages of their careers, from those just starting out to those who have been in the field for a long time [3]. Universities all throughout the world still use publication criteria to decide who gets promoted, who gets tenure, and who does research assessments. More and more, not only the number of articles but also their quality and placement are

important. Research that is indexed in well-known databases like Scopus, Web of Science, Science Citation Index Expanded (SCIE), Social Sciences Citation Index (SSCI), Australian Business Deans Council (ABDC), Chartered Association of Business Schools (ABS), and the Directory of Open Access Journals (DOAJ) is often given priority [4]. These platforms show that the editors are strict, open, and important over the world. At its core, academic publishing should do more than just meet institutional needs; it should also help people learn. When done with a plan, publishing helps scholars form an intellectual identity, build an identifiable academic brand, and set long-term career goals [5].

Predatory Journals and the Decline of Academic Integrity

The decline of traditional academic gatekeeping has facilitated the emergence of predatory journals. These journals claim to be respectable scholarly publications, but they don't have clear peer-review processes, trustworthy editorial monitoring, or recognised indexing [6]. Predatory publishing puts making money ahead of academic integrity and often takes advantage of researchers who are under pressure to publish in order to get promoted. Publishing in these kinds of places can hurt your academic standing, make it less likely that people will cite your work, and make it harder for people around the world to see it. As academic evaluation grows more global, papers published in journals that aren't indexed or that are unethical may be completely ignored [7, 8].

So, even publications that are headquartered at schools or universities need to follow ethical publishing standards, have strict peer review, and be listed in respected indexes. Publication is still very crucial, but where and how research is published is becoming even more vital.

From Making Knowledge to Sharing Knowledge

Academics have two main jobs: doing research and sharing research. The first one has always been important, but the second one is just as important now. Research that is not efficiently communicated risks being disregarded, irrespective of its scientific validity [10]. Digital platforms like Google Scholar, ResearchGate, LinkedIn, Twitter (X), Facebook academic communities, and other social media sites have changed the way scholars talk to each other [11]. When researchers talk to people outside of academia through public lectures, blogs, podcasts, and policy papers, their work has a much bigger influence and reach. Research that is easy to find is more likely to be cited, talked about, and put into practice [12]. One well-written paper can have a bigger impact on jobs, funding possibilities, and collaborative networks than several papers that aren't very noticeable.

Influence on society, visibility, and impact

In a time when millions of scientific articles are produced each year, exposure has become a key aspect in figuring out how important research is [13]. Visibility facilitates scrutiny, debate, and intellectual exchange fundamental components of scientific advancement. Research that is easy to find is more likely to change how policies are made, how professionals work, and how the public understands things [14]. Outside of academics, being visible opens up more chances for international collaborations, keynote speeches, consulting jobs, and working with people from different fields. In certain circumstances, it helps scholars move into careers in politics, business, or global development. Visibility is important because it connects research to the needs of society by making people more aware of important topics like climate change, health disparities, and social justice.

How to get around in today's academic world

Publishing alone is no longer enough in today's competitive academic world. Scholars must actively market their work, use digital media properly, and build a professional online presence [15]. More and more, visibility is linked to research funding, institutional standing, and job longevity. With more and more pressure to publish quickly, it's important to remember why we do research in the first place: to provide knowledge that is useful, moral, and important. Publishing with a purpose puts honesty ahead of quantity and influence ahead of simple productivity.

Conclusion

The academic environment has transitioned from "publish or perish" to "be visible or vanish." While publishing is still important, visibility is now what defines relevance, influence, and longevity in academia. So, scholars need to publish carefully, ethically, and with a clear goal in mind, and they also need to actively share their work with people all over the world.

Research that isn't online runs the risk of being forgotten in the digital age. Visibility turns academic work into social influence, making sure that academic contributions are not only counted but also really matter. To do well in modern academia, scholars need to see visibility not as a way to promote themselves, but as a way to share knowledge responsibly.

References

1. Nicholas D, Rodríguez-Bravo B, Watkinson A, Boukacem-Zeghmouri C, Herman E, et al. (2017). Early career researchers and their publishing and authorship practices. *Learned Publishing*. ;30(3):205–217
2. Shen, C., & Björk, B. C. (2015). 'Predatory' open access: A longitudinal study. *BMC Medicine*, 13, 230.
3. Penfield T, Baker MJ, Scoble R, Wykes MC (2014). Assessment, evaluations, and definitions of research impact: A review. *Research Evaluation*. ;23(1):21–32.
4. Hirsch, J. E. (2005). An index to quantify an individual's scientific research output. *PNAS*, 102(46), 16569–16572.
5. Larivière, V., Haustein, S., & Mongeon, P. (2015). The oligopoly of academic publishers. *PLoS ONE*, 10(6), e0127502.
6. Nnodim J, Nwaokoro JC (2023). Predatory Journals in Scientific Research: A Great Challenge. *Journal of Case Reports in Medical Science*.;9(1):1–4.
7. Nnodim J, Dunga KE, Glad U (2023). Methods to Spot Predatory Journals: A View Point. *Journal of Case Reports in Medical Science*. 9(1):9–12.
8. Nnodim J, Nwaokoro JC, Promise IA. (2023) Perspectives and Trends in Research Ethics: A Necessity. *Asian Journal of Research in Biology*. ;6(1):53–55.
9. Sugimoto, C. R., (2017). Scholarly use of social media. *Journal of the Association for Information Science and Technology*, 68(1), 203–216.
10. Van Noorden, R. (2014). Online collaboration: Scientists and the social network. *Nature*, 512(7513), 126–129.
11. Cobey KD, Grudniewicz A, Lalu MM, Rice DB, Raffoul H, Moher D (2019). Knowledge and motivations of researchers publishing in presumed predatory journals: a survey. *BMJ Open*. ;8(1):e019703
12. Eysenbach, G. (2011). Can tweets predict citations? *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, 13(4), e123.
13. Nnodim JK. (2025). A Threat to the Credibility of Medical Research Publications. *Int J Clin Case Rep Rev*.
14. Beall, J. (2012). Predatory publishers are corrupting open access. *Nature*, 489(7415), 179.
15. Bornmann, L. (2014). Do altmetrics point to the broader impact of research? *Journal of Informetrics*, 8(4), 895–903.



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI: [10.31579/2768-0487/201](https://doi.org/10.31579/2768-0487/201)

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://auctoresonline.com/journals/journal-of-clinical-and-laboratory-research>