

Feed Resources, Formulation, Feeding Practice and Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia in Ethiopia

Yibelel Aynalem Nurie^{1,2*}, Alayu Yalew Teferra¹, Gashaw Tilahun Desta¹ and Daniel Brehane²

¹Department of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences, School of Fisheries and Wildlife, College of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, Bahir Dar University, Bahir Dar, Ethiopia. Po Box 79

²Yibelel Aynalem, Department of Animal science College of Agricultural Sciences Woldia, Ethiopia Po. Box 400

Corresponding author: Yibelel Aynalem Nurie, Fahim Aziz Eldein Shaltout Department of Food Hygiene and Control (Meat hygiene), Faculty of Veterinary Medicine, Benha University, Benha 13511, Egypt.

Received date: June 09, 2025; **Accepted date:** June 17, 2025; **Published date:** June 25, 2025

Citation: Yibelel A. Nurie, Alayu Y. Teferra, Gashaw T. Desta and Daniel Brehane, (2025), Feed Resources, Formulation, Feeding Practice and Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia in Ethiopia, *J. Nutrition and Food Processing*, 8(8); DOI:10.31579/2637-8914/323

Copyright: © 2025, Yibelel Aynalem Nurie. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract:

The objective of this review was to deliver well organized fish feed resources and feeding practice in Ethiopia. Protein content of plant and animals are varied. Common animal origin fish feed sources were (Bone & blood meal 52.48% and fish meal 60.57 %). Research finding showed that highest and lowest daily growth rate were 0.88 and 0.15g respectively. maximum Tilapia daily growth rate reported (1.3 to 1.6g), which is better than Ethiopian research works. Conclusion. There are three types of fish feeding practice in Ethiopia (commercial feed, on farm formulated feed and ingredients as powder). The most affordable and cost-effective fish feed was on farm formulated feed. Ethiopia is rich in fish feed resources but not efficiently utilizes the resources properly. Plants were the highest dominate in fish feeding practice compared to animal products. On farm fish feed formulation and feeding practice is not well grown due to this reason aquaculture is found in infant stage compared to East African countries. Growth performance of Nile Tilapia was very low in Ethiopia in six to ten months and body weight of Tilapia reported below (180 g). Recommendation- To boost aquaculture in Ethiopia advanced seed multiplication center will be established and fish feed processing plants will be developed. On farm feed processing and formulation practice will be given training for fish farmers and experts.

Key words: feed resources; feeding practices; feed formulation and growth performance of Nile tilapia

Introduction

Global aquaculture is now the fastest growing food production in many countries and continues but more challenging in the future [1]. Aquaculture has been practiced for centuries in different countries and use source of cheap animal protein to numerous poorest communities [2]. However, this farming practice was introduced to so many African countries lately (sub-Saharan Africa and Ethiopia). Among the various culturing systems, semi-intensive covers 70% of finfish production in the world; majority production comes from a few aquaculture fish species such as carp, catfish and tilapia [3]. The Nile Tilapia is one of the most cultured fish containing over 60% of the total tilapia production in the world [4]. *Oreochromis niloticus* was advisable for semi-intensive culture system because of its ability to utilize a variety of feed originating from plants, animals or mixed feeds [5]. However, one critical challenge in fish farming is feed cost [6]. Common aquaculture fish species in the world are carp, salmon, tilapia, and catfish. Among them tilapia is the best candidate species [7]. In Ethiopia the species offers about 60% of the total landings from major and small water bodies (8). However, recently the actual yield declined 60% to 49% [9]. The sharp decline of fish yield is related to overfishing and ecological changes of water bodies due to population pressure such as extension of agriculture and floriculture

around the watershed area. Moreover, land degradation, soil erosion, and nutrient runoff have also contributed to fish biomass reduction [10]. Now a days, most water bodies of Ethiopia have been experienced with signs of overexploitation, degradation, losses biodiversity and reduction of fish resources [11]. Therefore, aquaculture is the best solution to mitigate depletion of existing fisheries. The Aim of this review was to deliver well organized fish feed resources and feeding practice in Ethiopian fish farmers, students and policy makers.

2. Fish Feed Resources

2.1. Aquatic animal protein sources

Fish meal

An important by-product for aquaculture could be from fish processing or trimmings of fish leftover such as fins, guts, bones and heads which have high protein contents which has to be processed by the food processing industry into fish meal. It is approximately estimated about 25% of all fish meal production now comes from trimmings [12]. Fish wastes will be sun dried and will have a CP of 38.12%. It reduced the feed costs/kg of diet and feed cost/kg of weight gain by 20.8% and 24.9% respectively.

Fish meal is a commercial product mostly made from bones and other leftover parts of fish after consumptions by humans [13]. It has protein value and has high effect growth of fishes because edible by the fish [14]. But it is very expensive to afford for developing countries like Ethiopia [14]. Fish feed constitutes between 50% and 70% of a commercial farmer's cost of production [15]. Fish feed constitutes between 50% and 70% of a commercial farmer's cost of production [15]. In terms of formulation and composition of feeds, several factors must be taken into account. The primary aim is usually economic, to find the least cost feed formulation that imparts a suitable nutritional quality and protein digestibility to the species in question. For this reason, it is important that raw ingredients considered in aqua feeds are researched thoroughly and that least cost options are explored. The biological efficiency of the feed is usually assessed through measuring the FCR. For instance, a near optimal 1:1, is 1kg of body mass weight gain (whole wet fish) to every 1kg of feed provided (as fed – e.g. dry pellets). Fish meal is a major source of protein in tilapia feeds but highly cost 50 -70% in semi-intensive aquacultures

Meat and bone meal

Meat and bone meal are also valuable protein and mineral source and contributes to the protein, energy and mineral component of diets [16]. It is reported that the meat /blood and bone meal protein may replace up to 50 % fish meal protein in the diet of gilthead sea bream juveniles without compromising growth and feed efficiency. [17] replaced fish meal up to 60 % with meat meal without affecting weight gain, feed efficiency or protein efficiency ratio in Japanese flounder. Blood and bone meal have several advantages, including high protein content, with well-balanced amino acids profile; good source of digestible minerals, (phosphorous and calcium) and lack of anti-nutritional factors [18]. Slaughterhouse wastes, including blood are considered as a potential protein source that can be utilized to replace fishmeal in aquaculture diet

2.2. Plant feed resources

Agro-industrial by-products

Agro-industrial by-products are rich in energy and protein contents. They have less fiber content, high digestibility and energy values compared with the other class of feeds. The major feed resources agro-industries by-products milling were (wheat bran, wheat middling, wheat short and rice bran, edible oil processing by-products (soybean cake, Niger seed cake,

rapeseed cake, cottonseed cake, linseed cake, ground nut cake, sesame cake, sunflower cake, peanut cake and safflower cakes) [19].

Wheat bran: The wheat grain consists of about 82% endosperm, 15% bran, and 3% germ it is the major milling by-product used as livestock feed as well as fishes in Ethiopia. Wheat bran is quite palatable and is well known for its laxative characteristics because of its swelling and water holding capacity. This is due to its high fiber and non-starch carbohydrate content [20].

Wheat middling is a by-product of wheat milling. During milling, 70-75 % of the wheat grain becomes flour, and the remaining 25-30 % results in by-products. Wheat middling consists of fine particles of wheat bran, wheat shorts, wheat germ, wheat flour, and some of the by-product from the tail of the mill. Wheat middling cannot contain more than 9.5% crude fiber and must have minimums of 14% protein and 3% fat [21].

Maize gluten

Maize gluten is one of the important co-products of starch industry obtained from the removal of most of the starch and gum through wet milling. Incorporated corn gluten meal, corn gluten feed and corn distiller's grains with soluble in tilapia (*O. niloticus*), a diet with 32 % and 28 % protein. The results showed that 28% protein diet with 67% corn gluten was adequate for tilapia fry to weight gain, feed conversion ratio and protein efficiency ratio.

3. Feed Industries in Ethiopia

There are several private and union commercial feed manufacturing industries in Ethiopia which mainly produce feeds for poultry production. Currently the feed industries are operating below their capacity due mainly to low demand, high cost and low quality of feeds produced [22]. The animal feeds produced in Ethiopia are mainly composed of the ingredients corn, bone and meal, soya bean cake, Noug cake, rapeseed cake, limestone, salt, vitamin, lysine and methionine. Most ingredients are found locally as the byproducts of factories but some are imported There are a total of 81 feed enterprises found in the Ethiopian commercial feed sub-sector as shown in (Table 1). The feed industry includes feed processing plants, farmer unions, supplement manufacturers, feed processing machinery and forage seed producers. Most of these enterprises are found in Addis Ababa [23].

| Region | Feed | Farmers | Supplement | Feed processing | Total |
|--------------|-------------------|-----------|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------|
| | processing plants | Union | importers / Manufactures | Machineries | |
| Addis Ababa | 10 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 26 |
| Amhara | 4 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 11 |
| Oromia | 12 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 23 |
| SNNPR | 4 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| Tigray | 2 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Total | 32 | 28 | 15 | 5 | 81 |

Sources:(23) .

Table 1: Animal and fish feed processing plants

Fish feed requirements

Protein requirements of fish increase from larva fries to adult and amount of carbohydrate greater than crude lipid and crude fiber. Fish feed formulation depends on type of fish, age, size, stage. body weight sees (Table2). Common fish feed proximate composition in Ethiopia reported

by scholars the highest protein was reported bone and meat and the lowest was raw soybean (Table 3). The highest and the lowest crude fat was recorded row soybean and bone& meat meal (Table 3). The ash value was higher in bone & meat meal but lower raw soybean (Table 3). The locally available ingredients proximate composition were reported by different scholars (Table 7 and Table 18).

| Life stage | Weight (g) | % Requirement |
|---------------------|------------|---------------|
| First feeding Larva | 0.02-1 | 45-50 |
| Fry | 1-10 | 35-40 |
| Fingerlings | 10-25 | 30-35 |
| Juveniles | 25-200 | 30-32 |
| Adults | >200 | 28-30 |
| Brood stock | - | 40-45 |

Source (24)

Table 1: Protein requirements of Tilapia at different life stage

| Feed ingredients | DM (%) | CF (%) | CP (%) | Ash (%) | Calcium |
|------------------|--------|--------|--------|----------|---------|
| Bone & Meat meal | 95.78 | 3.54 | 46.87 | 32.64 | 7.8 |
| Soybean Meal | 91.89 | 6.45 | 43.37 | 6.22 | 0.201 |
| Raw Soybean | 92.36 | 15.73 | 33.40 | 5.112.72 | - |

Sources: (25)

Table 3: Chemical composition of feed ingredients applied in Ethiopia and reported by (25)

| Ingredients | Dm | CP | CF | Ash |
|-----------------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| Linseed cake | 90.88 | 31 | 13.63 | 8.27 |
| Niger seed cake | 92.8 | 32.42 | 20.11 | 9.7 |
| Soybean cake | 93.8 | 39.38 | 6.48 | 5.4 |
| Fish waste meal | 95. | 61.09 | 0 | 22.04 |
| Wheat grain | 87.5 | 9.6 | 5.79 | 13.6 |
| Corn grain | 88.29 | 7.81 | 12.72 | 13 |
| Wheat bran | 85.72 | 10.73 | 9.9 | 3.25 |
| Maize bran | 93.66 | 8.74 | 5.8 | 1.66 |
| Barely bran | 85.35 | 13.69 | 2.4 | 1.24 |
| Mill sweeping | 92.75 | 4.79 | 5.44 | 4.07 |

Sources: (26)

Table 4: Proximate composition of common fish feed ingredients reported by (26)

Fish feeding practices were three types from these commercial feed feeding one of the new technology practices- specially research centers and investors at small scale. The second one was on farm formulated fish feed feeding practice which has future promising in aquaculture development in the countries and it is affordable and accessible. and the third one was more common feeding practice (ingredient powder fed of

fish) which is nutritional poor but not expensive. This practice done through country side specially by fish farmers. Fish feeding practice dominated by (A) Oil seed by products, (B) wheat grain, wheat gluten meal and what bran, (C) fish waste meal /fish meal. Different researchers/ scholars practiced feeding of fish as powder widely compare to commercial feed and on farm formulated feed (Table 5).

| Ingredients | % CP | Scholars |
|------------------|--------------|----------|
| Fish meal | 54.8 - 60.57 | (28) |
| Formulated feed | 32 | (29) |
| Noug cake | 31.2 | (30) |
| Poultry manure | 19.88 | (31) |
| Cotton seed cake | 38.34 | (14) |
| Line seed cake | 26.77 | " |
| Sunflower cake | 29 | " |
| Sesame meal | 43.48 | " |
| Rape seed cake | 37.8 | " |
| Groundnut | 54.17 | " |

Table 5: Fish feeding practice and protein contents reported in Ethiopia

3.1. Feed formulation

Feed formulation is the process of quantifying the number of feed ingredients that need to be combined to form a single uniform mixture for livestock, fishes and other animals to prepare animal feed in the industry. The formulated feeds provide various nutrients that are needed for the growth of animals. A good animal yield is highly dependent on the quality and safety of feeds supplied. Therefore, the formulated feed should provide a balanced diet for the animal with high-quality ingredients and adequate amount to provide healthier growth). One of the criteria for feed formulation is to make sure that all ingredients included in the recipe are

safe from physical, chemical and biological contaminants. Feed formulation is done based on the class of the animal, feed ingredient types and constraints and cost and availability of ingredients. Formulation of animal ration is a complicated problem as, the requirement of animals varies with species, the stages of growth, body weight and physiological needs such as pregnancies, milk yield at certain level with different fat percentages [32]. Feed cost directly determines the profitability of animal farming since it accounts for most of the industry's expenses. In an attempt to economize the ration formulation, several mathematical models have been used with varying success. Some of the conventional

methods available are Pearson's square method and trial and error method [33].

Feed formulation was done by using least cost formulation application that uses linear programming. The formulation functions by utilizing Microsoft excel solver add in option. Excel is preferable since it is popular and widely available. It allows developing a feed from different ingredients that have various nutrient compositions in order to produce nutritious and affordable feeds. Least cost formulation is a way of balancing rations in a simple procedure that enables formulating feeds by entering the nutritional requirement of an animal for a specific nutrient. Feed combinations that can give the required nutritional value with the least possible cost values are selected.

Common fish feed formulation methods adopted in Ethiopia fish farmers

1. Pearson square method [34].
2. Trial and error method [35].

There are many fish feed ingredients and feeding practiced by farmers, researchers and private fish farms as powder and on farm formulated feeds. More than 98.7% of fish feeding practice was as powder/unformulated feed but 1% was on farm formulated feeds and the rest 0.3% was commercial feed, the purpose of research conducts. In Ethiopia plant products and by products numerous than animal products and by product (Table 8). From 21 common ingredients fish meal, wheat bran, rice bran and Nuog cake were the dominant ingredients.

| | | |
|---------------------------|----------------|-----------------|
| Alfalfa leaf | Haricot bean | Sesbania |
| Azolla | Lupine meal | Sesames cake |
| Blood & bone | Maize bran | Soybean meal |
| Brewery west | Noug seed cake | Sun flower meal |
| Cassava leaf | Pumpkin fruit | Sweet potato |
| Chicken manure/ droppings | Poultry manure | Tree Lucerne |
| Fish offal | Rice meal | Wheat bran |

Table 8: Summary common fish feed ingredient s practices in Ethiopia

Ethiopia is rich agro-industry by products, specially crop by products and fresh water resources but aquaculture development in Ethiopia is at infant stage compared to Africa and East African countries. Egypt is one of the developed countries in aquaculture in Africa and also the first leading country but the water source is Nile River which coming from Ethiopia. From seven regions Amhara and Oromia region were very rich fish feed resources (oil by products) compare to others The lowest producers were SNNPR, Benishagul Gumuz and Harari (Table 6). Each oil seed production dry matter in tone was Noug cake (147,134), Linseed cake (17,

297), Groundnut cake (20, 510), Sunflowr cake (7, 444), Soybean cack (43, 150), Cotton seed cake (68,548), Sesame cake (33, 072), Rape seed cake (7, 458), Mixed cake (1, 361) and Total 345, 974 (Table 6). The percentage of each oil seed cake was Noug cake (42.53%), Linseed cake (5%), Groundnut cake (5.93%), Sunflowr cake (21.5%), Soybean cack (12.47%), Cotton seed cake (19.81%), Sesame cake (9.56%) , Rape seed cake (2.61%), Mixed cake (0.39%). From theses oil seed Noug seed cake and cotton seed cake were the highest and the lowest were Rapsed cake, Sunflowr cake and mixed cake respectively (Table 6).

| Oil by products | Adiss Ababa | Amhara | BG | Harari | Oromia | SSNNPR | Tigray |
|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|--------------|----------------|--------------|--------------|
| Noug cake | 8,015 | 21,145 | 1,933 | | 114,361 | 1,565 | 115 |
| Linseed cake | | 8,274 | | | 9,023 | | |
| Groundnut cake | 124 | 2,758 | | 7,819 | 9,809 | | 84 |
| Sunflowr cake | | 3,628 | | | 3,816 | | |
| Soybean cack | | 3,677 | | | 39,473 | | |
| Cotton seed cake | 13592 | 24823 | | | 13,997 | | 16,136 |
| Sesame cake | | 23903 | 170 | | 3,869 | | 4,130 |
| Rape seed cake | | 3727 | | 1,955 | 1,776 | | |
| Mixed cake | | | | | 1361 | | |
| Total | 21,731 | 91,935 | 3,103 | 9,774 | 197,185 | 1,565 | 20381 |

Table 6: Production and distribution of oil seed cakes in Ethiopia by region per year

BG (Benishangule Gumuz) and SNNPR (South people nation of nation region)

Source (36)

4. Fish feed resources, Fish feed formulation and feeding practice of fish in Ethiopia by different scholars

Feed A (37)

Supplementary feeding

Locally available fish feed ingredients were used feed formulation and fed fish during study. Mixture of mill sweeping, blood bone meal and oil seed cake were used in proportion of 60%, 20% and 20%, respectively. Feed s were pellet by using manual and electric meat grinder. Formulation of fish fed described in (Table)

| Feed type | % Protein | Composition | Formulated feed protein content |
|-------------------|-----------|-------------|---------------------------------|
| Mill sweeping | 11.9 | 60% | 7.14 |
| Blood & Bone meal | 52.5 | 20% | 10.5 |
| Oil seed cake | 17.1 | 20% | 3.42 |
| Premix | 18.5 | 0 | 0 |
| Total protein | 100 | 100 | 21.06 |

Table 7: Fish Feed protein content ratio and formulation



| Feed type | % protein | % Fat | %moisture | % fiber | %Ash |
|-------------------|-----------|-------|-----------|---------|------|
| Mill sweeping | 11.9 | 5.8 | 14 | 10.9 | 10.6 |
| Blood & Bone meal | 52.5 | 11.4 | 10.9 | 4.3 | 30.9 |
| Oil seed cake | 17.1 | 9.2 | 4.1 | 12.9 | 7.8 |

Feed B (38)

Locally available ingredients were brewery waste, Noug cake and wheat bran. The fish were fed on a composite mixture of wheat bran, brewery waste and Noug cake in powder form after mixing the ingredients fed fish showed (Table 9)

| Ingredients | composition % | % protein |
|---------------|---------------|-----------|
| Brewery waste | 40 | 11.6 |
| Noug cake | 51 | 14.79 |
| Wheat bran | 9 | 2.61 |
| Total | 100 | 29 |

Table 9: Proximate composition (dry weight basis) of locally available feeds

Feed C (39)

Researchers used Commercial feed (Alema kudijius pellet feed) for research purpose as a control group and compare to on farm formulated feeds which is affordable and cost effective. The feed proximate composition described (Table10)

| Feed ingredients | Crude protein | Feed I CM | Feed II *SYB) | Feed II (EWB) |
|------------------|---------------|-----------|---------------|---------------|
| Earth worm | 52.2 | 27 | | 20 |
| Soybean | 44 | - | 20 | - |
| Brewery waste | 29 | - | 61.8 | 7.3 |
| Wheat bran | 18 | - | 18.2 | 72.7 |
| Total protein | | 27 | 30 | 30 |

* Cm (commercial feed as Pellet)

Table 10: Percent composition of ingredients used to formulate farm-made and commercial feed used in the growth experiment.

Feed D (40)

Fish diets were prepared from locally available feeds. These were Oil seed cake (O), Mill sweeping (M), Rice bran (R) and Blood and Bone meal (B). Four combinations of feeds were prepared as diet-1 (ROM+B), diet-2 (RM+B), diet-3 (MO+B), and diet-4 (RO+B) (TABLE. 4)

| Ingredients | Diet (%) | | | |
|-------------------|----------|-------|-------|-------|
| | Diet1 | Diet2 | Diet3 | Diet4 |
| Blood & Bone meal | 20 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| Rice bran | 20.67 | 20 | - | 20 |
| Oil seed cake | 20.67 | 20 | 40 | 40 |
| Mill seeping | 20.67 | 20 | 40 | - |

Table 11: Proportion (%) of different ingredients used in formulated diets

In all the diets, 20% of blood and bone meal was added to increase the protein composition and solve the Potassium (P) limitations which are major nutrient and mineral for fish growth, respectively. The analyzed

average proximate nutritional compositions of diets used in this study were presented in (Table 12)

| Feed type | Nutrient Composition percentage | | | | |
|-------------------|---------------------------------|-------|-------|-------|----------|
| | Protein | Fat | Fiber | Ash | Moisture |
| Blood & bone meal | 52.48 | 11.36 | 4.18 | 20.86 | 10.83 |
| Rice bran | 17.47 | 12.48 | 14.41 | 14.24 | 11.99 |
| Mill sweeping | 11.92 | 5.78 | 10.89 | 10.53 | 14.04 |
| Oil seed cake | 17.06 | 9.17 | 12.95 | 7.81 | 4.10 |

Table 12: Feed types used and their nutrient proximate composition**Figure 2:** Pellet feed

Table 13. presents the supplementary feeds crude nutrients composition with respect to their dry matters. For all formulated feed diets, the crude nutrients analyzed by using Win feed 2.8 software. The mean dietary crude protein was 22.84%.

| Treatments | Crude protein | Crude fat | Crude fiber | Dry matter |
|------------|---------------|-----------|-------------|------------|
| Diet1 | 22.87 | 13.2 | 16.1 | 89.43 |
| Diet2 | 22.22 | 9.5 | 10.9 | 90.92 |
| Diet3 | 22.00 | 8.2 | 10.3 | 90.00 |
| Diet 4 | 24.28 | 10.9 | 11.7 | 87.4 |
| Average | 22.8425 | 10.45 | 12.25 | 89.44 |

Feed E (41)

Table 13: Proximate Composition percentage of each diet

The researchers formulated three different feeds to observe body weight change of fish and select the best one.1/ Feed one feed formulation contain 51% of wheat flour and 49% noug cake (WF+NC), Feed two contain 43 % of maize flour and 57 % of noug cake (MF+NC) and control feed was without any supplemental feed. Diet in the fed treatments had 21% of crude protein.

Three levels of fish feeds were formulated based on the percentage of crude protein (CP)). (Feed1) 30% CP, (Feed 2) 35% CP 40%, The proportions of the experimental feed ingredients, in the formulated feeds, were determined using the Pearson square method and algebraic equations, the most commonly used methods for balancing crude protein levels (Table 14).

Feed F (42). Feed formulation and processing

| Ingredients | (Feed1) 30% CP | | (Feed 2) 35% CP | | (Feed3) 34% CP | |
|----------------|----------------|-------|-----------------|-------|----------------|-------|
| | % | %CP | % | %CP | % | %CP |
| Poultry manure | 44.93 | 13.48 | 56.80 | 19.88 | 65.72 | 26.29 |
| Wheat bran | 23.3 | 6.99 | 29.49 | 10.32 | 34.10 | 13.64 |
| Maize bran | 14.27 | 4.28 | 6.14 | 2.15 | 0.08 | 0.03 |
| Barely bran | 17.5 | 5.25 | 7.57 | 2.65 | 0.1 | 0.04 |
| Total | 100 | 30.00 | 100 | 35.00 | 100 | 40 |

Table 14: Percent contribution of each ingredient to crude protein (%CP) for each formulated feed

**Figure 4:** feed processing and formulation**Feed G (43).**

The diammonium phosphate (DAP), a chemical fertilizer, was added into the first six treatment ponds (T1) at a rate of 2 g m⁻² week. The fertilizer was dissolved in the water from the ponds and disseminated over the same ponds. Similarly, poultry manure was added into the remaining six treatment ponds (T2) at the same rate as for the DAP. The manure was kept in pieces of sacks and suspended in the ponds waters to prevent direct feeding by the fishes. While the nitrogen and phosphorus concentrations of DAP were referred from the manufacturer's product description, the concentrations of these elements in the poultry manure used in the study were determined according to the proximate method of analysis

Feed I (44)

In general, there are promising nutritional and economic justifications for replacing fish meal by mixture of lupin and grass pea protein sources in the diets of Nile tilapia considering growth parameters, feed utilization, carcass composition, hematological parameters, and economic analysis up to 75% level.

Diet Formulation and preparation the feed ingredients of grass pea (*Lathyrus sativus*) and lupin (*Lupinus albus*) were bought from the local market in Addis Ababa (Mesalemia) whereas fish meal was prepared from whole fish purchased from the market with respect to the price presented in Table 5. Freshly collected whole fish was purchased from Lake Ziway, Ethiopia. The freshly collected whole fish was minced using an electrical meat miner and then dried in an oven for 24 hours at 72 °C. The dried fish carcass was ground into a fine fish powder using an electrical smashing machine, sieved (0.5mm mesh size sieve), and then stored in a plastic bag at -18 °C in a deep freeze.

| Ingredients | Control diet | LG M25 | LGM50 | LGM75 |
|---------------|--------------|--------|-------|-------|
| Fish meal | 323 | 242.25 | 161.5 | 80.75 |
| Wheat grain | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |
| Corn grain | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.8 | 109.8 |
| Soybean grain | 362.80 | 362.8 | 362.8 | 362.5 |
| LGM | 0 | 80.75 | 161.5 | 242.5 |
| CMC | 29.00 | 20 | 20 | 20 |
| VMP | 4.4 | 34 | 34.4 | 34 |
| SBO | 30 | 30 | | |

Table 15. Composition of ingredients in diets (g Kg⁻¹) in replacement of fish meal with a mixture of lupin and grass pea-meal

Note: LGM- lupin and grass pea mixture meal, SBO- Soybean oil LGM25 = 25% of fish meal replaced by lupin and grass pea mixture meal LGM50 = 50% of fish meal replaced by lupin and grass pea mixture meal LGM75= 75% of fish meal replaced by lupin and grass pea mixture meal CMC = high viscosity carboxymethyl cellulose used as a binder

**Figure 5:** Feed processing and formulation in pellet form

Feed K (46)

Feed and feeding of the experimental fish were Formulated feed was purchased from Alema Koudijs's animal feed processing industry agent at Bahir Dar. The nutrient content of the feed is indicated in (Table 17)

| Nutrient content | Measurement | Minimum | Maximum |
|--------------------|--------------|---------|---------|
| Crude protein (cp) | % | 35 | - |
| Fiber | % | - | 4 |
| Fat | % | 7 | - |
| Calcium | % | 2 | - |
| Moisture | % | - | 9 |
| Carbohydrate | Kilo calorie | 3540 | - |

Table 17: The proximate composition (%) of the feed ingredients used during the experimental period.

Feed L (47)

| Feed category | Protein | Lipid | Fiber | Ash | Dry matter |
|---------------|---------|-------|-------|------|------------|
| Rice bran | 62.97 | 13.07 | 7.2 | 14.7 | 90.85 |
| Wheat bran | 14.84 | 9.71 | 6.12 | 8.96 | 90.1 |
| Soy bean cake | 42 | 3.5 | 6.2 | 6 | 89 |
| Noug cake | 32.89 | 7.72 | 21.3 | - | 93.2 |

Table 18. Nutrient composition of the locally available fish feeds in percentage

4. Growth Performance of Nile Tilapia in Ethiopia

In Ethiopia there were research works carried out on growth performance of Tilapia in different feeding systems in cage culture, earthen pond, aquarium and concrete ponds. Temperature, oxygen and pH were major critical factors that have great impacts on the growth of fishes. Temperature was recorded to the highest 25.05 and minimum 18 but the recommended temperature for Nile Tilapia is (25-32⁰ C). In Ethiopia so many researchers were conducted on the growth performance of Tilapia

(body weight gain (BWG), Daily growth rate (DGR) and others parameters but their findings not aliened international standards. In six months, Nile tilapia body weight recorded 300g but in the case of Ethiopia researcher reported below 180 g which is very low, the reason it might be quality feed problems and not managed properly. Fish feed might be easily sink in the water bottom which cause nutrient loading and cause oxygen deficient. When water temperature drops below 21°C, the Tilapia growth slows dramatically, reproduction stops and the incidence of disease increases.

| Temperature | Feeding |
|-------------------------|-----------------|
| TO <10 ⁰ C | No feeding |
| 14 - 15 ⁰ C. | 1 time/day |
| 18 - 20 ⁰ C | 1 - 2 times/day |
| 20 - 30 ⁰ C. | 3 - 4 times/day |
| > 31 ⁰ C. | 1 - 2 times/day |

Source: (48)

Table 19: Factors affecting of Tilapia growth in pond

Maximum Tilapia daily growth rate was reported 1.3 to 1.6g by (5) which is greater than Ethiopian research findings (maximum and minimum were 0.8 and 0.1g respectively (Table20). More researches conducted earthen and concrete pond rather than cage and aquarium. Feeding of fish in

Ethiopia reported two time per a day which was reported al scholars but number of feeds were varied. On farm formulated feed was commune fish feed in Ethiopia which is very important to minimize cost and increasing production and easily afford it. Formulated feed from (37-46) fish ate the feed and what they performed showed (Table 20).

| Parameters | Growth performance of Nile Tilapia reported by Scholars in Ethiopia | | | | | | | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|------|--------|-------|
| | 37 | 38 | 39 | 40 | 41 | 42 | 43 | 44 | 45 | 46 |
| IW (g) | 43.61 | 46.35 | 28.3 | 42.5 | 33.3 | | 20.9 | 1.37 | 19.64 | 8.57 |
| FW (g) | 118.9 | 76.7 | 66.31 | 177. | 72.18 | 46.63 | 51.33 | 9.14 | 166.49 | 179.7 |
| DGR (g) | 0.75. | 0.2 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 0.35 | 0.56 | 0.15 | 0.1 | 0.81 | 0.62 |
| Stock density/m ² | 47 | 50/C | 2 | 100/C | 3 | 2 | 2 | 23 | 5 | 4 |
| Fed%/day | 3 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 5 |
| Feed type | Pt | For | Pt | Pt | For | For | Pm | Fr | Pt | pw |
| Feeding time/ day | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Months | 3.33 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 5 | 2.5 | 6 | 10 |
| Culture type | Cage | cage | pond | Cage | Pond | Pond | pond | RC | pond | pond |

* IW (initial weight g) and FW (Final weight g)

Conclusion

There are three types of fish feeding practice in Ethiopia (commercial feed, on farm formulated feed and (ingredients as powder). The most affordable and cost-effective fish feed was on farm formulated feed. Ethiopia is rich fish feed resources but not efficiently utilize the resources properly. Plants were the highest dominate in fish feeding practice compare to animal products in Ethiopia. On farm fish feed formulation and feeding practice was not well grew due to this reason aquaculture is found infant stage in Ethiopia compare to East Africa countries. Growth performance of Nile Tilapia was very low, in six to ten months, the body weight of Tilapia reported below (180 g). Sources of seed was wild fish and mixed sexes) which is not advisable in aquaculture development in the present day. Aquaculture management practice was poor in Ethiopia (there was no quality seed (mono-sex fingerlings), quality feed and promising market. The contribution of aquaculture in Ethiopia economy was very little bit. The daily growth rate of Tilapia highly difference in Ethiopia, highest and lowest reported 0.81g and 0.1g respectively but average 0.42g which is very low compare with international daily growth rate standard. The expansion of agro-industry in Ethiopia are good opportunities for affordable fish feed formulation and growth of aquaculture in the country.

5.1. Recommendation

To develop aquaculture in Ethiopia, advanced seed multiplication center established and fish feed processing plants will be developed and manually feed processing machine also distributed for fish farmers. On farm feed processing and formulation practice will be given training for fish farmers and experts. Now a day, Tilapia production in open water is reduced 60% to 49 % so aquaculture is the best solution to poverty reduction in Ethiopia. From three feeding system on farm formulated fish feed will be expanded rather than commercial feed and powdered feed.

Acknowledgments: We are acknowledging Ethiopian researchers for the availability of data regarding to the issue.

Authors' contribution: All authors contributed to the study's conception and design. Yibelel Aynalem Nurie was responsible for data collection, analysis, and the preparation of the original draft of the document. Alayu Yalew Tefera also supervised, reviewed and commented the document. Gashaw Tilahun Desta critically reviewed, commented on, and edited the manuscript.

Data availability: Data will be available upon request.

Competing interest: The authors declare no competing interests.

Ethical clearance: No ethical clearance is required since the research does not involve the participation of humans and animals.

Funding declaration: We would like to declare that we have not obtained any fund for the preparation of this manuscript.

Consent declaration to publish: We declared that we have given agreement of consent for the publication of our manuscript in the Journal of Aquaculture international Discover Applied Science Journal.

Clinical trial number: It is not applicable for this review study.

References

1. FAO. (2018). The state of world fisheries and aquaculture (2018) - meeting the sustainable development goals. Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations Rome
2. Popma, T. and Michael, M. (1999). Tilapia: Life History and Biology. SRAC Publication No. 23 pp. 1-5.
3. Tacon, A.G.J. and De Silva, S.S. (1997). Feed preparation and feed management strategies within semi-intensive fish farming system in the tropics. *Aquaculture* **151**:379-404.
4. Hephher, B. and Prugini, Y. (1982). Tilapia culture under controlled conditions. In: *The Biology and Culture of Tilapias*, pp. 185-204 (Pullin, R.S.V. and Lowe- McConnell, R.H., eds.). ICLARM, Manila, Philippines..
5. 5.Liti, D. M., Cherop, L., Munguti, J.M. and Chhorn, L. (2005). Growth and economic performance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fed on two formulated diets and two locally available feeds in fertilized ponds. *Aquac. Res.* **36**: 746-752
6. De Silva, S.S. and Anderson, T.A. (1995). Fish nutrition in aquaculture. Chapman & Hall London, UK. 319pp.
7. FAO. (2003). Improving agricultural water use is essential to fight hunger and poverty. Retrieved from <http://www.fao.org/english/newsroom/news/2003/15707-en.html>
8. 8.LFDP. 1997. Lake fisheries management plans. In D. Reyntjens (Eds.), Lake Fisheries Development Project, Phase II (pp. 1-65). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia:Ministry of Agriculture
9. 9 Tesfaye G and Wolff, M. (2014). The state of inland fisheries in Ethiopia: A synopsis with updated estimates of potential yield. *Journal of Ecohydrology and Hydrobiology*, **14**: 200-219.
10. Wakjira, MT. Tolemaria, Kim, JD. Kim, KR. (2013).Aquaculture Development in Ethiopia: Review on Potential and Strategy. *J. Agricult. Life Environ. Sci.*25 (3):20-25
11. Merga, LB. AA. Mengistie, A. JH. Faber, Van den Brink,PJ. (2020). Trends in chemical pollution and ecologicalstatus of Lake Ziway, Ethiopia: a review focusing on nutrients, metals and pesticides, *Afri. J.Aquati.Sci.* 45(4):386-400
12. 12..IFFO. (2011). International fishmeal and fish oil organization
13. 13.acobs, Andrew (2017). China's Appetite Pushes Fisheries to the Brink. The New York Times.
14. 14 Geremew A, Getahun A, Rana K. (2015). Digestibility of Soybean Cake, Niger Seed Cake and Linseed Cake in Juvenile Nile Tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* L. *J Aquac Res Development* **6**: 333
15. Partos, L., 2010. Fish Feed Costs to Remain High. <http://www.seafoodsource.com/newsarticledetail.aspx?id=4294998760>
16. 16.Moutinho S, Martínez-Llorens S, Tomás-Vidal A, Jover-Cerdá M, Oliva-Teles A, Peres H. Meat and bone meal as partial replacement for fish meal in diets for gilthead *Journal of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences.* (2008); 8 (1):149-158.
17. 17 Sato T, Kikuchi K. Meat Meal as a Protein Source in the Diet of Juvenile Japanese Flounde. *Fisheries science.* 1997; 63 (6):877-880\
18. Suloma A , Mabroke R S and El-Haroun E R (2013) Meat and bone meal as a potential source of phosphorus in plant-protein-based diets for Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*). *Aquac. Int.* **21**, 375-385.
19. Mirzaei, A., &Maheri, N. (2008). Nutritive value of some agro-industrial by-products for ruminants-A review. *World J. Zool*, 3 (2), 40-46
20. Tolera A. (2008). Feed Resource and Feeding Management. A manual for feed operators and development workers. Ethiopia sanitary and phytosanitary standards and livestock and meat marketing program (SPS-LMM). Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 38.
21. Wu JL, Jan L. Comparison of the nutritive value of dietary proteins in Tilapia aurea. *Journal of Fisheries Society Taiwan.* 1977; 5 (2):55-60.
22. 22. Tolera A, Yami, A., & Alemu, D. (2012). Livestock feed resources in Ethiopia: Challenges, Opportunities and the need for transformation. Ethiopia Animal Feed Industry Association, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

23. Bediye, S., Nemi, G. and Makkar, H. (2018). Ethiopian Feed Industry: current status, challenges and opportunities. *Brooding Horizons* 50
24. FAO-FIRA. (2012). Aquaculture Feeds and Fertilizer Resources Information System. Accessed March, 2012. <http://affris.org>
25. Kebede F. (2020). Response of broiler chickens fed pelleted or non-pelleted diets, containing graded levels of raw, full-fat soybean, Unpublished M.Sc. Thesis
26. (ENHRI). (2008). A life cycle impact assessment method which comprises harmonised category indicators at the midpoint and the endpoint level First edition Report I: Characterisation
27. Yalew A, Getahun A and Dejen E (2019). Effect of replacing fish meal by sweet lupin meal on growth performance of African catfish fingerlings, *Clarias gariepinus* (Burchell, 1822)
28. Aynalem Y, Yalew A and , Gashaw Tilahun (2025.). Investigation of Proximate Composition of Locally Available Ingredients for Fish Feed Around West and North Gojjam, Amhara Region, Ethiopia Unpublished PhD. Dissertation
29. Yimer A and Tigabu Y (2016) 2 Evaluation of locally made fish cage on the growth performance of *Oreochromis niloticus* (1758)
30. Fox, D. G., Tedeschi, L. O., Tylutki, T. P., Russell, J. B., Van Amburgh, M. E., Chase, L. E., ... & Overton, T. R. (2004). The Cornell Net Carbohydrate and Protein System model for evaluating herd nutrition and nutrient excretion. *Animal Feed Science and Technology*, 112(1-4), 29-78
31. Radhika, V., & Rao, S. B. N. (2010). Formulation of low cost balanced ration for livestock using Microsoft excel. *Wayamba J. Anim. Sci*, 2, 38-41.
32. Wagner, J., & Stanton, T. L. (2012). Formulating rations with the Pearson square. Fact sheet (Colorado State University. Extension). *Livestock series*; no. 1.618.
33. Afolayan M, . O., & Afolayan, M. (2008). Nigeria oriented poultry feed formulation software requirements. *Journal of Applied Sciences Research*, 4(11), 1596-1602
34. Tyson, R.V., E.H. Simonne, M. Davis, E.M. Lamb, J.M. White and D.D. Treadwell (2007). Effect of nutrient solution, nitrate-nitrogen concentration, and pH on nitrification rate in perlite medium. *J. Plant Nutr*, 30:901-913
35. Fresh water fisheries Research Center, Chinses Academy of fishery Science FAO Reference Center for Aquaculture and Inland fishery Research and training Wuxie Fisheries College of Nanjing Agriculture University.
36. Feyisa T, Tolera A, Nurfeta A, Balenegn M, Adesongan A (2024). Availability , distribution and uality of agei-industrial by products and compound feeds in Ethiopia
37. Abdilahi Dill (2020) .The Effect of Stocking Density and Supplementary Feeding on Growth Performance and Yield of Nile Tilapia [*Oreochromis niloticus* (L, 1758)] in Cage culture in Wonji Reservoir, Ethiopia
38. Yimer A and Tigabu Y (2015). Evaluation of locally made fish cage on the growth performance of Nile tilapia. *Journal of Aquaculture Development*, 6: 1-17.
39. Tadesse Z & Yimer A. (2021). Growth performance and feed utilization of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) Fed on commercial and farm-made feeds in ponds. *Ethiop. J. Biol. Sci.* 20(2): 87–99, 2021.
40. Alemayehu A .(2011). Effect of feed quality on growth performance and water quality in cage culture system for production of Nile tilapia, [*Oreochromis niloticus*, (Linnaeus, 1758)] in Lake Hora-Arsedi, Ethiopia. Unpublished MSc thesis. Addis Ababa University: Ethiopia
41. Negisho T Endebe M, Tugie D and Barnabas (2017). Effect of various supplementary feedings on growth of Nile Tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) in concrete ponds
42. FirewAdmassu., Abebe Getahun and MulugetaWakjira 2017. Supplemental feed formulation for the bestgrowth performance of Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Pisces: Cichlidae) in pond culture system. *J. Chem. Biol. Phys. Sci.* 7 (2): 599-611
43. Gindaba A , Getahun Aand Wakjira M (2017).. Growth performance and yield of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* (Linnaeus,1758) under different stocking densities and fertilizations scenarios in earthen pond culture. *Int.J. Sci.: Basic Appl. Res. (IJSBAR)*: 32 (2): 80-94.
44. Fisseha T (2020). Partial replacement of fish meal by a mixture of lupin (*Lupinus albus* L.) andgrass pea (*Lathyrus sativus* L.) meal in the diets of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L.) fingerlings in a recirculating aquaculture system
45. Mulugeta M, Yalew A, Tilahun Gashaw and Melaku A (2024). Effect of stocking density on the physico-chemical characteristics of pond water and survival rate of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus*) fish in Bahir Dar, Ethiopia
46. Alebachew S, Kebtieneh N, Tesfahun A, Gedefaw T, Yilma T and Abiyu A (2022). Effects of different stocking densities on the growth performance of Nile tilapia (*Oreochromis niloticus* L) fingerlings cultured in earthen pond system



This work is licensed under Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 License

To Submit Your Article Click Here:

Submit Manuscript

DOI: [10.31579/2637-8914/323](https://doi.org/10.31579/2637-8914/323)

Ready to submit your research? Choose Auctores and benefit from:

- fast, convenient online submission
- rigorous peer review by experienced research in your field
- rapid publication on acceptance
- authors retain copyrights
- unique DOI for all articles
- immediate, unrestricted online access

At Auctores, research is always in progress.

Learn more <https://auctoresonline.org/journals/nutrition-and-food-processing>